For consistency in evaluating, it is recommended that all judges rank each student's presentation in the following categories:

**SCORE:** (poor) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (exceptional)

I. **SCIENTIFIC MERIT**
   - Was a clear objective presented? Was the experimental approach valid?
   - Were sufficient reproducible data presented to justify the conclusions?
   - Does this work represent a significant contribution?

II. **CLARITY OF PRESENTATION**
   - Was the presentation well organized?
   - 1) Statement of problem  2) Methods  3) Results  4) Conclusions
   - Were the visual aids of high quality?
   - Was the research clearly presented?

III. **PRESENTER'S QUALIFICATIONS**
   - Was the presentation well timed?
   - Was the student familiar with the research area?
   - Did the student exhibit confidence in the presentation?
   - Were questions answered appropriately?

IV. **TOTAL** (0 - 30)

V. Comments (for your purposes only)