SWK 505: Advanced Generalist Practice with Individuals
SPRING 2015

SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

SWK 505 51E: ADVANCED GENERALIST PRACTICE WITH INDIVIDUALS

Wednesdays: 1:00pm – 4:00pm

INSTRUCTOR: Chris Stewart, Ph.D.
OFFICE: 323C Henderson Hall
OFFICE HOURS: TBA
OFFICE PHONE: (903) 468-8727
E-MAIL: Chris.Stewart@tamuc.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

This advanced practice course provides students with theories and skills for working with individuals from an advanced generalist perspective. By the end of the course, students will be expected to demonstrate critical thinking, integration of theory with practice, and knowledge, values and skills appropriate for autonomous practice. Prerequisites Students must have been admitted to the MSW program; completed all MSW foundation curriculum requirements; and be in good standing in the MSW program.

GOALS & COMPETENCIES:

1. Prepare MSW graduates for professional advanced generalist practice that reflects application and integration of critical thinking, theoretical frameworks, and differential interventions. Graduates will be able to demonstrate the following competencies:

   1. Apply critical thinking to inform and communicate professional judgments (2.1.3)
   2. Engage in research-informed practice and practice-informed research (2.1.6)
   3. Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment (2.1.7)
   4. Contribute to evidence-based best practice approaches to assess and improve effectiveness (AGP 2.1.6)
2. Enable MSW graduates to apply ethical reasoning to advance equality, justice, and social change. Graduates will be able to reflect the following competencies:

   1. Apply social work ethical principles to guide professional practice. (2.1.2)
   2. Engage diversity and difference in practice (2.1.4)
   3. Demonstrates the ability to build strengths based on mutual engagement with diverse populations (AGP 2.1.4)

3. Promote leadership and service that is relevant to individual contexts of practice and enhances well-being and quality of life. Graduates will be able to reflect the following competencies:

   1. Identify as a professional social worker and conduct oneself accordingly (2.1.1)

COURSE OBJECTIVES:

1. The ability to use selected theories and models of intervention in generalist practice with individuals.
2. The ability to critique and apply the theoretical and empirical literature relevant to intervention situations.
3. The ability to select, evaluate, and use explanations of human behavior for specific practice situations, i.e., the interventions appropriate for specific conditions and clients.
4. The understanding of intercultural counseling techniques, as relevant to minority groups, and the relevance of generalist practice activities to the social work profession and to social justice.

RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER COURSES:

This course builds upon foundation content that provides knowledge of human behavior and social systems. It builds upon foundation exposure to professional values and ethics, particularly the NASW Code of Ethics.

This course draws upon SWK 501, 555, and 595 to engage research methods and skills in evaluation; critical thinking skills utilize research and empirical evidence.
Table 1. (2.1.2) Apply social work ethical principles to guide professional practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Behavior</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2.1) Is knowledgeable about value base of the profession</td>
<td>Group Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.3) Recognizes and manages professional values to guide practice (e.g. on multicultural diverse rights)</td>
<td>Theory Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.5) Is able to apply strategies of ethical reasoning to arrive at principled decisions</td>
<td>Group Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theory Presentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EPAS PRACTICE BEHAVIOR RUBRIC**

(2.1.2) Apply social work ethical principles to guide professional practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Behavior</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Above Expectations</th>
<th>Met Expectations</th>
<th>Minimum Expectations Met</th>
<th>Minimum Expectations Not Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2.1) Student is knowledgeable about value base of the profession</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.3) Student was able to recognize and manage professional values to guide practice (e.g. on multicultural diverse rights)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.5) Student was able to apply strategies of ethical reasoning to arrive at principled decisions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. *(2.1.3)* Apply critical thinking to inform and communicate professional judgments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Behavior</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>(3.3)</em> is skilled in using critical thinking augmented by</td>
<td>Theory Analysis Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creativity and curiosity</td>
<td>Theory Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(3.4)</em> has good assessment skills</td>
<td>Theory Analysis Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theory Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(3.5)</em> has good problem solving skills</td>
<td>Theory Analysis Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theory Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(3.6)</em> has good data gathering skills</td>
<td>Theory Analysis Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theory Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(3.7)</em> analyzes complex material well</td>
<td>Theory Analysis Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theory Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(3.8)</em> is skilled at appraising and integrating multiple</td>
<td>Theory Analysis Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sources of knowledge and practice wisdom</td>
<td>Theory Presentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EPAS PRACTICE BEHAVIOR RUBRIC

(2.1.3) Apply critical thinking to inform and communicate professional judgments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Behavior</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Above Expectations</th>
<th>Met Expectations</th>
<th>Minimum Expectations Met</th>
<th>Minimum Expectations Not Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3.3) Student was able to present skills in using critical thinking augmented by creativity and curiosity.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.4) Student was able to present good assessment skills.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.5) Student was able to present good problem solving skills.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.6) Student was able to present presents good data gathering skills.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.7) Students was able to present analyzes of complex material well.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.8) Student was able to present skills at appraising and integrating multiple sources of knowledge and practice wisdom.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. (AGP 2.1.6) **Contribute to evidence-based best practice approaches to assess and improve effectiveness.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Behavior</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(AGP 6.1) Uses research and evaluation to assess intervention, efficacy, and effectiveness</td>
<td>Group Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**EPAS PRACTICE BEHAVIOR RUBRIC**

(AGP 2.1.6) **Contribute to evidence-based best practice approaches to assess and improve effectiveness.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Behavior</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Above Expectations</th>
<th>Met Expectations</th>
<th>Minimum Expectations Met</th>
<th>Minimum Expectations Not Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(AGP 6.1) Student was able to use research and evaluation to assess intervention, efficacy, and effectiveness</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TEXT:


CLASS ATTENDANCE AND PARTICIPATION:

Students are expected to attend class, reflecting responsibility which is inherent in the development as a social work professional. Roll will be taken regularly. Students are expected to be on time and prepared to participate when class begins as well as be present throughout the entire class meeting. Classroom exercises, discussions, role plays, guest speakers and other in-class experiential exercises are essential for a student’s professional learning and continued development of self-awareness. Tardiness (or early departure) of more than 15 minutes will count as .5 absence (2 tardies/early departures = 1 absence). A student is considered absent if he/she arrives more than 30 minutes late to class, leaves 30 or more minutes early or does not come to class.

The following penalties for absences (unexcused, or excused, according to university policy) will be administered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weekly</th>
<th>Up to 2 absences</th>
<th>3 absences</th>
<th>4 absences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No penalty</td>
<td>1 letter grade drop</td>
<td>Class grade of “F”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi-weekly</td>
<td>Up to 3 absences</td>
<td>4 absences</td>
<td>5 absences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No penalty</td>
<td>1 letter grade drop</td>
<td>1 letter grade drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>Up to 1 absence</td>
<td>2 absences</td>
<td>3 absences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-week</td>
<td>No penalty</td>
<td>1 letter grade drop</td>
<td>Class grade of “F”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ONLINE OR WEB-ENHANCED CLASSES: Just as students are required to attend face-to-face classes, students are required to log in and participate in online components. To receive credit for attendance online via eCollege, students must log in and complete assignments in a timely manner. Not logging in to eCollege (which can be monitored by the instructor) and completing assignments online during the appropriate time is the equivalent of an absence for each week this occurs.

Final evaluation and grades depend on both presence and participation. Students’ grades will be significantly impacted by inadequate participation or lack of required time commitment each week. Students are expected to spend a comparable amount of time in the online learning environment as they would in class (3 hours a week in the classroom). In addition, just as in traditional F2F classrooms, students are expected to spend time reading and studying class materials.

NOTE: PROBLEMS WITH YOUR INTERNET CONNECTION AND/OR COMPUTER ARE NOT CONSIDERED AS REASONS FOR LACK OF PARTICIPATION. You have access to the university’s computer labs (in the social work department AND other campus facilities, including the library) as well as local libraries and other access to computers and ISPs. If you believe that you are unable to fulfill the requirements for the course you should talk with your instructor about the possibility of dropping or withdrawing.

Class participation has three components: (1) Appropriate interactions with classmates, (2) Attentiveness, and (3) Active involvement in class activities. Evaluation of class participation is based on instructor observation. Students will be given feedback if problems are evident.
POLICY ON DUE DATES:

All assignments are due at the beginning of the class period on the due date stated in the Course Schedule. Late assignments will automatically have 25 points deducted from the grade per day beginning with the due date and each day thereafter. ASSIGNMENTS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED AFTER ONE WEEK past the due date. (Assignments due at the beginning of class on Monday will not be accepted after class begins the following Monday). Please do not email assignments unless you have received prior permission. It is easy to “lose” an email or have trouble opening attachments.

POLICY ON PLAGIARISM AND CHEATING:

Every student is expected to do his/her own work. Law, ethical standards, university policy, and departmental policy demand that students refrain from plagiarism and any form of cheating. Plagiarism is the "Act of appropriating the literacy composition of another, or parts of passages from of his [or her] writings, or the ideas or language of the same, and passing them off as the products of one's own mind." (Black's Law Dictionary, Abridged Fifth Edition, 1983). When using others' words, phrases, or ideas in writing, the original author should be given proper credit.

Cheating may take different forms. These include, but are not limited to, copying others' answers during an exam, using notes or other forms of help during an examination or quiz, except when explicitly permitted by the instructor, giving or receiving help on exams or assignments, or submitting work for one class which has already been submitted for another class for credit. Use of citations from the Internet without paraphrasing content AND proper referencing is regarded as plagiarism. Professors have the right to use electronic review programs (such as Turn It In”) to identify plagiarism.

The department does not tolerate plagiarism or cheating. A student found to be engaging in such illegal and unethical conduct may receive a failing grade in the course and may be subjected to further disciplinary proceedings. Any assignment or exam that lacks honesty will be given a grade of "0".

ACCEPTABLE CLASSROOM BEHAVIOR:

“Students at Texas A&M University-Commerce are expected to obey all federal, state, and local laws, in addition to the regulations of the University. The standards of Student Conduct including disciplinary rules and procedures are designed to provide and conform to the basic tenets of due process, as developed by institutions of higher education. As such, the University distinguishes these procedures and regulations as an educational and growth process which is not intended to conform to adversary proceedings as in a court of law. (Student’s Guide Book, 2011, p. 35).
CODE OF CONDUCT FOR SOCIAL WORK STUDENTS

The Department of Social Work expects all social work students to conduct themselves in an ethical, professional manner. Professional ethics are at the core of social work. The profession articulates its basic values, ethical principles, and ethical standards as set forth in the *NASW Code of Ethics* to guide social workers’ conduct. The *Code* is relevant to all social workers and social work students, regardless of their professional functions, the settings in which they work, or the populations they serve. Accordingly, we expect social work students to demonstrate courtesy, respect and support for fellow students, instructors, clients, and all other persons.

All students enrolled in BSW or MSW classes are expected to observe the tenets of the NASW Code of Ethics and the Social Work Student Code of Conduct. Our Code of Conduct is reflective of professional and academic expectations—a student who cannot demonstrate appropriate behaviors will not be appropriate for practice in the social work profession. Students who violate these Codes may be asked to meet with appropriate Social Work faculty (instructors or Program Directors). In addition, the department’s Academic and Professional Issues (API) Committee is responsible for dealing with student issues when requested by faculty.

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal anti-discrimination statute that provides comprehensive civil rights protection for persons with disabilities. Among other things, this legislation requires that all students with disabilities be guaranteed a learning environment that provides for reasonable accommodation of their disabilities. If you have a disability requiring an accommodation, please contact:

Office of Student Disability Resources and Services
Texas A&M University-Commerce
Gee Library- Room 132
Phone (903) 886-5150 or (903) 886-5835
Fax (903) 468-8148
StudentDisabilityServices@tamuc.edu

WRITTEN ASSIGNMENTS:

All written assignments must demonstrate acceptable writing style: including the use of Standard English, acceptable grammar, and the use of the American Psychological Association (APA) style of reference citation.

Part of the grading process for all written assignments will include an evaluation of presentation, including clarity, coherence, logic and organization of the assignment. All assignments must be typewritten, using double-spacing and standard margins. It is the student’s responsibility to ensure the paper is in the possession of the instructor by the designated time. Any papers left in the mailbox or any other place are not the instructor’s responsibility. **All assignments must be turned in on hard copy, no electronic papers; either e-mail or flash drives will be accepted.**
At the discretion of the instructor, it may be required to submit written assignments via “Turn-It-In”, a program utilized to determine instances of plagiarism. Please follow-up to ensure I have received your paper by the date assigned. If a paper is found to be plagiarized, the student will receive an “F” for the course and referred to the Department for further possible action.

Below is a partial list of factors that are addressed in the grading process:

- Any error in APA reference or citation format from the latest edition
- Lack of quotation marks at the beginning and end of all direct quotes
- Extra or missing punctuation (i.e. commas, semi-colons, colons, periods)
- Incomplete sentences (i.e., sentences without a verb)
- Run-on sentences (i.e., sentences that run together without appropriate punctuation and capitalization delineating each sentence)
- Incorrect spelling
- Inappropriate and inconsistent verb tense
- Lack of noun-verb agreement
- Incorrect use of capitalization (e.g., social work is generally not capitalized)
- Incorrect use of possessives (examples of correct use are Shawn’s book, the parents’ child)
- Any contractions (e.g., I’m, can’t, won’t), except in direct quotes from another source
- Lack of neatness (e.g., hand written corrections, uneven indentions)
- Papers that are not typewritten
- Use of a size other than #12 font
- Lines not double spaced
- Margins that are less than or wider than 1 inch
- Failure to indent the first line of a paragraph
- Incoherent sentences

CORRESPONDANCE:

Students are expected to stay in communication with the instructor of this course either verbally, in writing or via e-mail. The preferred method of contact for the instructor is email. Only Texas A&M-Commerce University email accounts will be used in corresponding with the instructor.

CELL PHONE POLICY:

Please turn your cell phone (and other electronic devices) off during class. If you are on-call for your work, please place the cell phone on silent mode. If you utilize a laptop to take class notes, please be aware of potentially distracting others around you and seat yourself accordingly. Please be considerate of other students and avoid texting or inappropriate uses of your computer or cell phone.

You may be asked to leave the class if you use your cell phone during class or it is determined you are utilizing a computer to do outside work, surf the web inappropriately or communicate personal conversations.
OVERVIEW OF ASSIGNMENTS:

ANALYTIC PAPERS (6 papers @ 10 points = 60 Points):

A two page double-spaced typewritten paper is due at the beginning of each class meeting for Weeks 10 -15; summarizes the reading assigned for the day (see course calendar). Students are not required to submit a paper for the theory they are presenting. The paper should identify and critique at least four key points from the reading. This paper is to be the student’s personal reflection and critique of each theory. The paper is not intended to be a summary of the reading. Points will be deducted for a simple listing or summary of assigned reading. Be prepared to discuss these points in class. Each paper is worth ten (10) points (See Grading Rubric: Appendix B).

GROUP PROJECT (20 points)

Students will participate in a group project (04/29/15). This exercise is designed to allow students to practice skills in both choice and application of practice theories. Full participation and cooperation by all members of the class is expected. It is possible that individual grades may be reduced for a lack of effort, preparation or participation in the group project.

Students must be present during role-plays to earn participation points; will not be allowed to make-up points missed during this exercise.

THEORY PRESENTATION (75 Points):

Each team of students will be responsible for a theory to be presented in Weeks 05 – 13. Learning leaders will review the assigned material and research additional academic materials to develop a presentation. At least two (3) sources, in addition to the assigned readings (Coady & Lehmann), should be utilized. Presentations should include: 1) a summary of the theory, 2) a thorough assessment of the theory for practice, 3) a case example utilizing the theory. Case examples (live or recorded) should include clear demonstrations of important, applied concepts. Be sure to develop possible questions and/ or issues for discussion. Leaders should also rely on their own experiences and knowledge to help facilitate class discussion. Each team will also provide a handout summarizing the presentation for the class. Each presentation should be approximately 45 minutes. (See Grading Rubric: Appendix C).

THEORY ANALYSIS PAPER (100 Points):

Students will analyze and apply their choice of the best theory of human behavior; it does not need to be one discussed in class. Students will then analyze the theory through an approved framework, i.e. Chapter 2 (Coady & Lehmann) or Payne’s framework (see handout). Students may also choose another framework but please discuss have the alternate choice approved prior to beginning the paper.

This paper should analyze the theory and present a thorough discussion of the theory’s strengths/weaknesses as well as available evidence to support the use of the theory in practice. The paper will also include a section on the theory’s application to practice: 1) are there any difficulties in using this theory? 2) is it applicable to all individuals? 3) is it applicable to all issues or presenting problems? 4) is there any special training required?
The paper should utilize the professional literature and contain at least seven (7) sources in addition to the course text. The paper should be 12 – 15 pages in length. More information can be found in APPENDICES D & E. The paper is due: 05/06/2015 at the beginning of class.

QUIZZES (2 @ 20 points = 40 points):

Quizzes will be given which reflects content from the weekly assigned material, including lectures, videos, hand-outs and class readings. The format may include multiple choice, true/false, short answer and essay questions. Quiz dates are: 03/11/15 and 04/15/15.

COMPREHENSIVE EXAM: (50 Points)

A comprehensive exam will be given which reflects content from the entire course, including all lectures, presentations and assigned readings. The format may include multiple choice, true/false, short answer and essay questions. Questions may also come from any weekly reading quizzes. The date of the comprehensive exam is 05/06/2015.

GRADING SCALE:

The course utilizes a 10% grading scale. In other words, 90% of the available points are required to earn an “A,” 80% for a “B,” etc. If the available points change during the semester the scale below will be adjusted.

310.5 - 345 points = A
276 – 310.4 points = B
241.5 – 275 points = C
> 241.4 – Don’t go here!
The following outline is provided as a guide though variations may occur. The Instructor reserves the right to make schedule or content changes to enhance the presentation of course materials. Readings are to be completed prior to class meeting. Class activities are based on the assumption that readings have been completed. Lecture information is to be considered as supplemental to required readings. Students are responsible for all assigned reading even if it is not discussed during class meetings. Class time will highlight and add to the knowledge gained through assigned reading; it is not intended to replace knowledge gained through reading. Assignments are to be submitted at the beginning of the class period.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>01/21/15</td>
<td>Introduction to Course; Review Syllabus; Course Expectations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>01/28/15</td>
<td>Theory: What is it? Is It Important? How to Assess and Analyze Theories</td>
<td>Chapters 1, 2, &amp; 3 Coady &amp; Lehmann Payne, Handout Assign Presentation Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>02/04/15</td>
<td>Evidence-Based Practice</td>
<td>Handout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Appendix A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>02/11/15</td>
<td>OLD SCHOOL BABY!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Freud, et. al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>02/18/15</td>
<td>Self-Psychology Theory</td>
<td>Chapter 8, Coady &amp; Lehmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analytic Paper 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>02/25/15</td>
<td>CBT &amp; Treatment</td>
<td>Chapter 9, Coady &amp; Lehmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analytic Paper 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>03/04/15</td>
<td>The Crisis Intervention Model</td>
<td>Chapter 10, Coady &amp; Lehmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analytic Paper 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>03/11/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>QUIZ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 9</td>
<td>03/18/15</td>
<td><strong>SPRING BREAK</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 9</td>
<td>03/18/15</td>
<td>SPRING BREAK</td>
<td>Chapter 11, Coady &amp; Lehmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 10</td>
<td>03/25/15</td>
<td>Task-Centered Model</td>
<td>Chapter 11, Coady &amp; Lehmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Analytic Paper 4</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 11</td>
<td>04/01/15</td>
<td>Client-Centered Theory</td>
<td>Chapter 12, Coady &amp; Lehmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Analytic Paper 5</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12</td>
<td>04/08/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>QUIZ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 13</td>
<td>04/15/15</td>
<td>Solution-Focused Therapy</td>
<td>Chapter 17, Coady &amp; Lehmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Analytic Paper 6</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 14</td>
<td>04/22/15</td>
<td>Constructivist Theory and Practice</td>
<td>Chapter 16, Coady &amp; Lehmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Analytic Paper 7</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 15</td>
<td>05/06/15</td>
<td>Review for Test</td>
<td>Theory Analysis Paper Due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Group Project</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Theory Analysis Paper Due</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX A

Evidenced-Based Practice Resources


WEBSITES

North Carolina Evidence-Based Practice Center  NCEBPC
www.ncebpcenter.org

Univ. of Michigan School of Social Work
www.lib.umich.edu/socwork/rescue/ebsw.html

National Library of Medicine (NLM) Gateway
http://gateway.nlm.nih.gov/gw/Cmd

Campbell Collaboration
http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/

Cochrane Collaboration
http://www.cochrane.org/
http://www.cochrane.us/

The National Health Service Centre for Reviews and Dissemination
http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/

Centre for Evidence-Based Social Services (CEBSS)
http://www.ripfa.org.uk/aboutus/archive/

Evidence Based Mental Health Online
http://ebmh.bmjournals.com/

Resources for Social Work Professionals
http://www.lib.umich.edu/socwork/swlvisitor.html
Columbia University Mushar Program

www.columbia.edu/cu/musher/EBP%20Resources.htm

Clinical Evidence

www.clinicalevidence.org/ceweb/conditions/index.jsp

Rand Corporation

http://www.rand.org/about/

National Institutes of Health

http://www.nih.gov/

Bandolier

http://www.medicine.ox.ac.uk/bandolier/

www.aaebp.org- info. about EBP.
## APPENDIX B

### ANALYTIC PAPER GRADING RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT</th>
<th>POINTS AVAILABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Four points</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete critique of theory</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation (see syllabus on written assignments)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C

### THEORY PRESENTATION GRADING RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT</th>
<th>POINTS AVAILABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete theory presentation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Include all main elements/concepts of theory</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Assessment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Strengths</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Weaknesses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicability to Practice:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) For which populations?</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) For which issues?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate Case Study?</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Effectiveness:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) References</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Handout</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

Theory Analysis Paper Elements

A. Introduction
   a. History of development
   b. Scope, or intended focus or target
   c. Relevant concepts

B. General Theory Assessment
   a. Strengths
   b. Weaknesses
   c. Comparison with competing theories

C. Application to Practice
   a. Assessment of issues/problems
   b. Solution(s)
   c. Case Example

D. Conclusion
   a. Why is this theory the best choice?
   b. Is it ever not the best choice?

E. References
   a. At least 7 professional references
## APPENDIX E

### THEORY ANALYSIS PAPER GRADING RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT</th>
<th>POINTS AVAILABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) History of development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Scope, or intended focus or target</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Relevant concepts</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Theory Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Strengths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Weaknesses</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Comparison with competing theories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Use of framework</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application to Practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Assessment of issues/problems</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Solution(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Case Example</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Why is this theory the best overall explanation of human behavior?</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Effectiveness:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) References</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Presentation (see syllabus on written assignments)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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