

# Doctoral Program Handbook Educational Administration

Department of Educational Leadership Texas A&M University-Commerce P.O. Box 3011 Young Education North 113 Commerce, Texas 75429 903.886.5520

Note: This handbook is subject to change. Updates will be available on the Educational Leadership Department website: <u>www.tamuc.edu/edl</u>. This is not a catalog and does **not** have catalog privileges.

Revised August 21, 2023

Welcome to the Doctoral program in Educational Administration at Texas A&M University-Commerce. The Department of Educational Leadership prepares graduates for leadership positions in schools, districts, and state agencies including colleges and universities.

The Doctor of Education degree in Educational Administration is designed with a K-12 public school administration emphasis. Our program is one of the oldest and most prestigious in the state and was designed with the school practitioner in mind. As one of the only fully online Doctoral Programs in the state, we are proud to also be one of the best values in higher education. Program admission is competitive in nature. As such, the department prioritizes admission to practicing administrators who hold a Master's Degree in Educational Administration or a related field. We do not accept any transfer hours toward our Doctoral Degree. We encourage applications from candidates with a strong academic commitment to education, seasoned leadership skills and competitive academic achievement and scholarship abilities. Incoming cohorts are accepted each fall and spring semesters into the program.

## This handbook includes the following information:

- 1. Admission procedures and requirements
- 2. Degree plans and course listings
- 3. Student orientation and advising
- 4. Residency Requirements
- 5. Comprehensive Exams
- 6. Dissertation

The information in this handbook is designed to provide a general overview of our program. This handbook is supplementary to the information provided in the official Graduate School Doctoral Handbook and is intended to provide information specific to our program. This handbook is written under the purview of the Doctoral Program Faculty. If further information is needed, please contact the Doctoral Program Coordinators, Dr. Peter Williams (Peter.Williams@tamuc.edu) or Dr. Teresa Farler (Teresa.Farler@tamuc.edu).

1



## MISSION

The Department of Educational Leadership at Texas A&M University-Commerce prepares graduates for teaching, service, and leadership roles in a variety of educational, business, government, and industrial contexts.

## VISION

The Department of Educational Leadership at Texas A&M University-Commerce envisions exemplary programs that challenge highly-qualified students to excel in their respective disciplinesand careers through engagement in a rigorous and transformative learning environment linking theory to practice while expanding the knowledge base of the learner, the profession and the respective academic discipline.

## EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP FACULTY

The faculty of the Educational Administration Master's Degree and Principal Certification Program are professional educators who bring their Texas public school leadership experiences to the program. Our faculty has served as assistant principals, principals, coordinators, assistant superintendents, and superintendents in various school districts. These field-based understandings coupled with active research agendas make for the best possible learning experiences as students seek to become educational leaders who will make a difference in schools, school districts, and local communities.

#### **Raymond Green, PhD**

Dean College of Education & Human Services Raymond.Green@tamuc.edu

> Melissa Arrambide, EdD Professor Interim Department Head Melissa.Arrambide@tamuc.edu

Melissa Arrambide, EdD Professor Master's Program Coordinator Texas A&M University-Commerce <u>Melissa.Arrambide@tamuc.edu</u>

Teresa (TJ) Farler, EdD Assistant Professor Superintendent Certificate Coordinator EdD Program Coordinator Texas A&M-Commerce Teresa.Farler@tamuc.edu

William (Chuck) Holt, EdD Professor Emeritus Lamar University Chuck.Holt@tamuc.edu

Sharon Ross, EdD Assistant Professor Stephen F. Austin State University Sharon.Ross@tamuc.edu

Elsa Villarreal, PhD Assistant Professor Principal Certificate Coordinator Texas A&M University Elsa.Villarreal@tamuc.edu Julia Ballenger, PhD 2020 Regents Professor The University of Texas Julia.Ballenger@tamuc.edu

Kriss Kemp-Graham, PhD Professor Urban and Rural Certificate Coordinator New York University Kriss.Kemp-Graham@tamuc.edu

> José Parra, EdD Clinical Instructor Columbia University Jose.Parra@tamuc.edu

Nate Templeton, EdD Professor Liberty University Nate.Templeton@tamuc.edu

James Vornberg, PhD Professor Emeritus University of Arizona James.Vornberg@tamuc.edu Danna Beaty-Boudreaux, EdD Associate Professor Texas A&M University-Commerce Danna.Beaty@tamuc.edu

Mack Hines, EdD Assistant Professor South Carolina State University

Mack.Hines@tamuc.edu

Sharonda Pruitt, EdD Assistant Professor Texas A&M University-Commerce Sharonda.Pruitt@tamuc.edu

Ray Thompson, EdD Professor Stephen F. Austin University Ray.Thompson@tamuc.edu

Peter Williams, PhD Associate Professor EdD Program Coordinator Texas A&M University Peter.Williams@tamuc.edu

## ADMISSIONS

Admission to the Doctoral program in Educational Administration is a competitive process. In any given year, the department receives more applications from qualified students than can be accepted. Consequently, application materials should be carefully prepared so as to present the student in the most positive light.

## Process

1. Students must first apply to and be accepted by the A&M-Commerce Graduate School. The general requirements for admission to the Graduate School are found in the graduate catalog and on the Graduate School's website. To be accepted into the Graduate School, you must submit to the Graduate School evidence that you have satisfactorily completed the admission requirements. Please see Doctoral admission packet linked below for details:

## Graduate School Doctoral Admission Packet

Students apply to the graduate school through Apply Texas. The direct link is provided here:

Apply Texas

The Graduate School will forward your application materials to the department only after the above requirements have been met. Moreover, admission to the Graduate School (in non-degree status) **does NOT constitute admission to the department's Doctoral program**.

- 2. The Program Admissions Committee, comprised of faculty members who teach in the Doctorate Program of Educational Administration and are committed to the principle of equal educational opportunities consistent with the mission and policies of the Texas A&M University System, state, and federal law, will review the doctoral application packets. A department-approved rubric is used to assign standard points to the departmental entrance criteria. If the sum of these points falls within the accepted entrance requirement range, the committee suggests to the EDL department Head that the applicant be recommended to the Dean of the College of Education and Human Services (COEHS) and the Graduate Office for admission.
- 3. The EDL Department Head and the Dean of the College of Education and Human Services must approve the committee's recommendation. If approved, the Dean for Graduate Studies and Research makes a final decision regarding admission to the degree program. Students will receive a final notice of acceptance or denial to the Doctoral Program in Educational Administration directly from graduate school.
- 4. Once admitted, students are strongly encouraged to progress through the program with their cohort. The cohort program is designed to provide students with opportunities to progress through the program in a logical and sequential manner with a group of colleagues pursuing similar professional goals. Course sequencing and cohort structure of the program are designed to maximize the student's learning potential and professional growth. The degree plan is 60 credit hours. If eligible, students may elect to take up to 9 elective credit hours towards Superintendent Certification.

## **The Graduate School**

For information and forms for the Graduate School, please visit the link below:

## GRADUATE SCHOOL FORMS

These forms are used throughout the graduate study period to schedule exams, defenses, and complete the dissertation. It is recommended that students regularly refer to the graduate school website while progressing through the program.

## **General Information**

Upon admission to the program, students will be assigned a curriculum advisor. At the appropriate time, as described in the "Dissertation Chair" section of this document, students will proceed through the dissertation chair selection process.

## **Admissions Review Committee Procedures**

- Departmental Receipt of Applications
- Applications of students, who have met the requirements of the Graduate School, as outlined in the graduate catalog, are forwarded to the Educational Administration Question this division of the Department of Educational Leadership where the department head forwards the materials to the EDAD Doctoral Program Coordinator.
- The Committee's Recommendation A department-approved rubric is used to assign standard points to the departmental entrance criteria. If the sum of these points falls within the accepted entrance requirement range, the committee suggests to the department head that the applicant be recommended to the Dean of the College of Education and Human Resources and the Graduate Office for admission.

## **The Admission Decision**

The EDL Department Head and the Dean of the College of Education and Human Services must approve the committee's recommendation. Approvals are sent to the Dean of Graduate Studies and Research who makes the final decision regarding admission to the degree program. Candidates will be notified in writing if they are admitted into or denied from entering the Doctoral program.

## Texas A&M University – Commerce Department of Educational Leadership Doctor of Education in Educational Administration Course List

## **Seven Core Courses: 21 Hours**

| Course   | SCH | Course Name  |  |  |  |
|----------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| EDAD 601 | 3   | Foundations of Educational Administration            |  |  |  |
| EDAD 634 | 3   | ynamics of Change and conflict Resolution            |  |  |  |
| EDAD 637 | 3   | dvanced Organizational Behavior in Education         |  |  |  |
| EDAD 639 | 3   | Program Evaluation for School Leaders                |  |  |  |
| EDAD 641 | 3   | School District Instructional Leadership: Curriculum |  |  |  |
| EDAD 647 | 3   | Ethics and Philosophy                                |  |  |  |
| EDAD 671 | 3   | Governance of Educational Organizations              |  |  |  |

## **Research Tools: 12 Hours**

| Course   | SCH | Course Name                                     |  |  |  |
|----------|-----|---|--|--|--|
| EDAD 695 | 3   | Research Methods                                |  |  |  |
| EDAD 603 | 3   | Statistical Procedures for Education & Research |  |  |  |
| EDAD 604 | 3   | Advanced Statistical Techniques                 |  |  |  |
| EDAD 698 | 3   | Qualitative Research                            |  |  |  |

## **Additional Required Courses: 6 Hours**

| Course   | SCH | Course Name                             |  |  |
|----------|-----|---|--|--|
| EDAD 664 | 3   | Doctoral Writing – Proposal Development |  |  |
| EDAD 699 | 3   | Resident Seminar                        |  |  |

## **Three Selected Elective Courses: 9 Hours**

| Course   | SCH | Course Name  |  |  |
|----------|-----|--|--|--|
| EDAD 654 | 3   | Foundations of Educational Administration                  |  |  |
| EDAD 655 | 3   | Executive Communication                                    |  |  |
| EDAD 658 | 3   | School Crisis Leadership                                   |  |  |
| EDAD 620 | 3   | School District Instructional Leadership: Human Resources* |  |  |
| EDAD 627 | 3   | School District Organizational Leadership: Finance*        |  |  |
| EDAD 651 | 3   | School District Organizational Leadership: Facilities*     |  |  |

# \*Denotes Superintendent Certification Courses (15 Hours Total) - Acceptance to Superintendent Program Required


Students must apply and be accepted into the Superintendent Certification Program **prior** to taking Superintendent Certification electives, through both the EDL department and the Certification Office. These courses cannot be substituted for Core Courses and can only be used as elective courses.

\*Upon completion of all 48 hours, (comprised of core courses, research tools, additional required course and elective courses) students may work to complete the superintendent certification program through concurrent enrollment in EDAD 611or 628 while enrolled in EDAD 718.

## **Superintendent Courses: (Remaining 6 Hours)**

| Course   | SCH | Course Name   |
|----------|-----|---|
| EDAD 611 | 3   | School District CEO Leadership: The Practicum*      |
| EDAD 628 | 3   | School District CEO Leadership: The Superintendent* |

Please refer to the Superintendent Handbook for further details regarding the Superintendent Program.





## Residency

The purpose of the residency requirement is to develop and assess students' ability to use evidence to inform and transform practice and their readiness to fully engage in dissertation research with a committee. By synthesizing existing research on the chosen problem of practice, students will develop critical thinking skills related to conducting literature reviews, composing an extended argument with supporting evidence, and demonstrate their mastery of certain aspects of specific educational leadership skills, including their ability to apply theory to practice.

The Residency Requirement is mandatory for all Doctoral students at Texas A&M University-Commerce. Residency allows students the opportunity to acquire intellectual experiences above and beyond the coursework of the Doctoral program by providing students additional opportunities to master intellectual principles, and develop original scholarly contributions to their chosen disciplines. Students will collaborate with the faculty members in the Doctoral program during the development and presentation of their research in a statewide/national setting.

The Graduate School expects all graduate programs to encourage and provide opportunities for immersion of Doctoral students in scholarship. Residency goals will be achieved by multiple means, including but not limited to individual and group research training; accessing resources such as libraries, research equipment, scholarly materials, participating in networking opportunities with Texas A&M University-Commerce faculty, external scholars and Doctoral students; and participating in scholarly conferences and symposiums.

Dissertation Chairs are selected as described in the Dissertation Committee Chair section of this document. Residency requirements and Doctoral comprehensive exams are directly overseen by the Curriculum Advisor.

Students will develop an individualized residency plan with their respective advisors. Residency Plans are comprised of two sections or strands of activity: The Research Strand and the Professional Development strand.

Each plan must include activities in both strands. These activities should provide the student with academic experiences outside the daily work environment and add to the knowledge base for the student's research interest.

## **Doctoral Residency Requirements:**

- Student attends 2 conferences (one regional/state and one national/international)
- Presentation at one conference (either regional/state or national/international)

Common considerations are attendance and participation at a conference of students and professors focused on research ideas and reports such as International Council of Professors of Educational Leadership, American Educational Research Association, Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development, American Association of School Administrators, Texas Professors of Educational Administration, or University Council for Educational Administration. Work with an educational organization or institution on a research or program evaluation project is also a possibility if approved by the curriculum advisor.

## **Certification of Completion**

After students complete their respective residency plan, his/her advisor will certify its completion noting any changes in the plan and file this certification in the department's (and/or Graduate School) records. It is the responsibility of the student to maintain all records of presentations, attendance at conferences or any other collaborative work with faculty.

# Residency Proposal Plan Form

| Name   | CWID#   |
|--|---|
| Address  |   |
| Phone(h)   | (w) e-mail  |
| Employment   |   |
| Estimated Completion Date of Residency Plan                            |   |
| Reg  | gional/State Conference Attendance                                |
| Name of Conference   | Date  |
| What do you hope to learn regarding Educational Le                     | adership from attending this conference?                          |
|  | nd cultural competence from attending this conference?            |
| Name of Conference   | al/International Conference Attendance<br>Date                    |
| What do you hope to learn regarding Educational Le                     | adership from attending this conference?                          |
| What do you hope to learn specific to educational an                   | nd cultural competence from attending this conference?            |
| Name of Conference   | Research Presentation<br>Date                                     |
| Presentation Title:  |   |
| Summary of Research Presentation:                                      |   |
|  |   |
| Both the student and faculty advisor have ag<br>residency requirement. | greed that the above activities, when completed, will fulfill the |
| Student Signature  | Date  |
| Doctoral Advisor Signature   | Date  |

10

## **Residency Completion Form**

| Name               |                   | CWID#      |     |  |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-----|--|
| Address            |                   |            | Zip |  |
| Phone              | (h)               | (w) e-mail |     |  |
| Employment         |                   |            |     |  |
| Completion Date of | of Residency Plan |            |     |  |

Both the student and faculty advisor agree that the activities delineated on the Residency Proposal Plan Form, have been completed and the student has fulfilled all residency requirements for the Doctoral Program in Educational Administration.

Student Signature

Date

Doctoral Advisor Signature

Date

\*A copy of this form is to be kept by the student. The advisor will forward this form at 2 different times. The form is to be forwarded at the time the proposal is approved and a copy of the completed and signed Residency Form will b and completed form to the Educational Leadership administrative staff for filing and copy the Doctoral Program Coordinator.

## **CURRICULUM ADVISOR**

## Assignment

Upon admission to the department's EDAD Doctoral program the Doctoral Program Coordinator assigns a faculty member to serve as the student's curriculum advisor.

## **Curriculum Advisor's Role**

Your curriculum advisor will serve as your main point of contact for any questions or concerns you have as you begin your doctoral program journey, through your coursework, residency, and comprehensive exams.

Your curriculum advisor and dissertation committee chair do not serve the same role and may or may not be the same person.

**\*\*Exception:** Dr. Kemp-Graham or Dr. Sharonda Pruitt will serve as curriculum advisor for students enrolled in the Urban School Leader EDAD Fall 2023 Cohort upon admission.

## **DISSERTATION COMMITTEE CHAIR**

Dissertation Chairs are selected after completion of all coursework, successful completion of the residency requirements and the Doctoral Comprehensive Exam. Once eligible, students will receive an email delineating the specific steps of the dissertation chair selection process. Students will receive an opportunity to indicate their first (3) choices for a dissertation chair. While we cannot guarantee that students will receive their request, we will always do our best to accommodate student request for a dissertation chair. The dissertation chair will assist students in developing the research proposal and in establishing a dissertation committee.

**\*\*Exception:** Dr. Kemp-Graham or Dr. Sharonda Pruitt will serve as dissertation chair for students admitted as part of the Urban School Leader EDAD Fall 2023 Cohort. Students in this cohort will be notified of chair assignment during their first semester in the program.

## **DEGREE PLAN**

## **Time Limitation for Degree**

All degree requirements beyond the master's must be completed **within ten calendar** years from **the date of admission** to the Doctoral program. No coursework beyond the master's degree that is over ten years old at the time the Doctoral degree is conferred can be used toward the Doctoral degree. When this occurs, the student must replace old coursework with additional courses.

## **Transfer Credit**

The program accepts no outside hours toward the degree.

## **RESEARCH TOOLS**

## **Completion Schedule**

The student must demonstrate proficiency in the use of research tools prior to taking the Doctoral comprehensive examination. Research tool requirements can be met by successfully completing each of the four courses with a grade of B or better. (Note: All courses that count toward the degree plan must be completed with a final course grade of B or better). All research tool courses must be taken in sequence and PRIOR to taking the comprehensive examinations.

## **DOCTORAL COMPREHENSIVE EXAM**

The Doctor of Educational Administration Comprehensive Examination is a milestone event in the academic program study leading to candidacy. Specifically, the comprehensive examination provides the Doctoral student an opportunity to 1)

The comprehensive examination is not intended to be an exercise in regurgitating all that a student has gained and learned throughout the program, but rather to ground the student in the discipline of educational administration. This process allows students to enhance their knowledge to establish the student as a thoughtful yet inquisitive thinker which will subsequently be applied to their future practice.

The <u>written portion</u> of the exam will consist of a 35-40 page Review of Literature. The topic will be directly related to the student's dissertation topic of interest. The <u>oral portion</u> of the exam will consist of a presentation of the literature review to the student's curriculum advisor and one other faculty member.

## Exception: Urban School Leader EDAD Fall 2023 Cohort

The written portion of the comprehensive exam will consist of a critical review of the literature inclusive of no less than 25 research articles. The literature review will be directly related to school leadership. The oral part of the exam will consist of a presentation of the literature review to the student's dissertation committee.

## Prerequisites

## Research tools.

The student must have met the proficiency standards for level I-IV of the research tools by successful completion of the research tools courses: EDAD 603, EDAD 604, EDAD 695 and EDAD 698.

#### Courses.

The student must have taken or be in his or her last semester of the core cohort EDAD courses and completed approximately two full years of study in the major area, as approved by the student's Doctoral advisor. This includes: EDAD 601, 671, 637, 641, 647, 639, and 634.

#### The EdD Program Coordinator will announce the dates for the exams.

Students will take their Doctoral comprehensive exams during their last semester of coursework. The examination must be taken and passed a minimum of eight months before the degree is conferred.

**Exception:** Urban School Leader EDAD Fall 2023 Cohort. Students seek approval from their Dissertation Chair to take comprehensive exam during their last semester of course work.

## Approval of the curriculum advisor.

Students will discuss the appropriate semester in which to sit for the comprehensive examination with their curriculum advisor, with final approval resting with curriculum advisor.

#### Evaluation of Written Examination

Each written response is rated as either a pass or fail. Students must score 80 of 100 points. Literature will be scored by both the Doctoral advisor and an in-department faculty member. An applicant who does not pass the qualifying examinations may be suspended from the Doctoral program, or upon the recommendation of the advisory committee and approval of the Dean for Graduate Studies and Research, may be permitted to repeat the examination (from Graduate Handbook).

## Evaluation of Oral Examination

Permission to proceed with orals. If the student passes the written comprehensive examination, the student will be assigned a date and time for the oral examination during the semester when the written portion was completed. The oral exam is scheduled directly with the curriculum advisor. Each presentation is scored as pass or fail. Students must score 80 of 100 points. A student who does not pass the qualifying examinations may be suspended from the Doctoral program, or upon request and subsequent recommendation of the curriculum advisor and approval of the Dean for Graduate Studies, may be permitted to repeat the examination (See Graduate Handbook).

**\*\*Exception:** Urban School Leader EDAD Fall 2023 Cohort. Oral examinations will be scheduled by the Dissertation Chair. The Oral exam will be facilitated by dissertation committee members.

## Final Report of Doctoral Comprehensive Examination

Prior to the oral portion of the comprehensive exam, the student should provide his or her major advisor with a copy of the Graduate School Doctoral Comprehensive Examination form. This form is available from the Texas A&M-Commerce Graduate School web page and from the department office. The student should complete the top portion. The major advisor verifies the written and oral examination results on this form and the student returns the signed form to the Graduate School. Any performance disputes will be settled by a committee consisting of all members of the Doctoral faculty in Educational Administration. Any student who does not pass the qualifying examinations after the first attempt may be suspended from the Doctoral program; however, upon the recommendation of the advisory committee and approval of the Dean for Graduate Studies and Research, students may be permitted to repeat the examination for a second and final attempt (from Graduate Handbook).

## Doctoral Written Comprehensive Exam Literature Review Rubric

You are to locate resources/research articles (60-100) from peer-reviewed scholarly journals; not including dissertations. You are to write a 35-40 page literature review, which includes a synthesis of these articles and secondary sources as they pertain to your topic. The reference page should include all sources cited in the literature review. The Reference page(s) does not count toward your 35-40 page limit. This literature review should serve as part of the background/foundation for your dissertation research. The literature review should adhere to APA 7 format.

#### Grading of Assignment:

A total of 80 of 100 points is required for the successful submission of your Literature Review.

| Category       | Criterion   | 1   | 2   | 3   |  |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|--|
|                |   | (0-5 Points)  | (6-7 Points)  | (10 Points)   |  |
| 1. Coverage    | A. Justified criteria for<br>inclusion and exclusion in<br>the literature review  | Did not discuss the<br>criteria for inclusion or<br>exclusion in the literature<br>review | Discussed the literature<br>included and excluded in<br>the literature review         | Justified inclusion and exclusion of literature                       |  |
| 2. Synthesis   | B. Distinguished between<br>what has been done in<br>the field and what needs<br>to be done   | Did not distinguish what<br>has and has not been<br>done before                           | Discussed what has and has not been done  | Critically examined the state of the field                            |  |
|                | C. Placed the topic or<br>problem in the broader<br>scholarly literature  | Topic not placed in<br>broader scholarly<br>literature                                    | Some discussion of<br>broader scholarly<br>literature                                 | The topic clearly situated<br>in broader scholarly<br>literature      |  |
|                | D. Placed the research in<br>the historical context of<br>the field   | History of a topic not<br>discussed   | Some mention history of the topic   | Critically examined history of the topic                              |  |
|                | E. Acquired and<br>enhanced the subject<br>vocabulary   | Key vocabulary not<br>discussed   | Key vocabulary defined  | Discussed and resolved ambiguities in definitions                     |  |
|                | F. Articulated important<br>variables and<br>phenomena relevant to<br>the topic   | Key variables and<br>phenomena not<br>discussed   | Reviewed relationships<br>among key variables and<br>phenomena                        | Noted ambiguities in<br>literature and proposed<br>new relationships  |  |
|                | G. Synthesized and<br>gained a new perspective<br>on the literature   | Accepted literature at face value   | Some critiques of<br>literature   | Offered new perspective   |  |
| 3. Methodology | H. Identified the main<br>methodologies and<br>research techniques that<br>have been used in the<br>field, and their<br>advantages and<br>disadvantages | Research methods not<br>discussed   | Some discussion of<br>research methods used<br>to produce claims                      | Critiqued research<br>methods   |  |
|                | I. Related ideas and<br>theories in the field to<br>research methodologies.   | Research methods not<br>discussed   | Some discussion of the<br>appropriateness of<br>research methods to<br>warrant claims | Critiqued appropriateness<br>of research methods to<br>warrant claims |  |
| 4. Rhetoric    | J. Was written with a<br>coherent, clear structure<br>that supported the<br>review  | Poorly conceptualized,<br>haphazard   | Some coherent structure   | Well developed,<br>coherent   |  |

Adapted from "Scholars before Researchers: On the Centrality of the Dissertation Literature Review in Research Preparation," by Boote, D. N., (2005), *Educational Researcher*, 34(6), 8.

## Doctoral Oral Comprehensive Exam Literature Review Presentation Rubric

(80 of 100 points required for successful completion of Literature Review Presentation)

## 1. Introduction and Coverage (10 points): Score:

## Justified criteria for inclusion and exclusion from review

- Discussed the criteria for inclusion or exclusion in the literature review (5 points)
- Delineates the major areas of literature included in the review (2 points)
- Justified inclusion and exclusion of literature (3 points)

## 2. Synthesis (60 points): Score:

Distinguished between what has been done in the field and what needs to be done

- Distinguish what has and has not been done before (5 points)
- Discussed what has and has not been done (2 points)
- Critically examined the state of the field (3 points)

## Placed the topic or problem in the broader scholarly literature

- Student placed the topic placed in broader scholarly literature (5 points)
- Some discussion of broader scholarly literature (2 points)
- The student clearly situated the topic in broader scholarly literature (3 points)

## Placed the research in the historical context of the field

- History of a topic discussed (5 points)
- Some mention history of the topic (2 points)
- Critically examined the history of the topic (3 points)

## Acquired and enhanced the subject vocabulary

- Key vocabulary discussed (5 points)
- Key vocabulary defined (2 points)
- Discussed and resolved ambiguities in definitions (3 points)

## Articulated important variables and phenomena relevant to the topic

- Key variables and phenomena discussed (5 points)
- Reviewed relationships among key variables and phenomena (2 points)
- Noted ambiguities in literature and proposed new relationships (3 points)

## Synthesized and gained a new perspective on the literature

- Did not accept literature at face value (5 points)
- Some critiques of literature (2 points)
- Offered new perspective (3 points)

## 3. <u>Methodology (20 points):</u> <u>Score:</u>

Identified the main methodologies and research techniques that have been used in the field, and their advantages and disadvantages

- Discussed research methods (5 points)
- Highlighted some discussion of research methods used to produce claims (2 points)
- Critiqued research methods (3 points)

Related ideas and theories in the field to research methodologies.

- Discussed research methods (5 points)
- Some discussion of the appropriateness of research methods to warrant claims (2 points)
- Critiqued appropriateness of research methods to warrant claims (3 points)

## 4. <u>Rhetoric and Presentation (10 points):</u> <u>Score:</u>

The oral presentation had a coherent, clear structure that supported the review

- Slides were clear and supported the oral presentation (5 points)
- Good coherent structure (2 points)
- Well-developed with sufficient details (3 points)

General Comments:

## **ADMISSION TO CANDIDACY**

## Prerequisites

The Graduate School will verify that required coursework, residency plan, and all portions of the qualifying comprehensive exam have been successfully completed before approving students for Doctoral candidacy.

## Notification

Notification of a Doctoral candidacy status will be made by the Dean for Graduate Studies and Research. This must occur 8 months prior to graduation.

## **DISSERTATION COMMITTEE**

## Selection of Dissertation Chair

Dissertation Chairs are selected after completion of all coursework, successful completion of the Doctoral Comprehensive Exam and completion of residency requirements. Once eligible, students will receive an email delineating the specific steps of the dissertation chair selection process.

Students will be invited to attend a "Meet the Faculty" Event. During this time, students will meet briefly in small groups with each faculty member.

- Dissertation chairs are not selected at this event
- Students are not able to enroll in EDAD 718 until completion of all course work, residency, and successful mastery of the comprehensive exam.
- Students will receive an opportunity to delineate their first (3) choices for a dissertation chair.

**Exception:** Students enrolled as part of the Urban School Leader EDAD Fall 2023 Cohort will have dissertation chairs assigned during their first semester in the program.

## Selection of Doctoral Advisory Committee Members

Your dissertation chair will guide you in selecting a dissertation committee at an appropriate time. Committee members should be selected to assist the student in the dissertation area of study.

## How many?

The committee is composed of three to five faculty members, one of whom is an EDAD faculty member who will serve as your dissertation chair. The remaining member(s) will be from the Department of Educational Leadership and a member from outside the department. Members and associate members of the Graduate Faculty may serve.

#### Who may chair?

Members of the Graduate Faculty are eligible to serve as chair as established by the Graduate Council.

## Function of Doctoral Advisory Committee Members

Proposal – The committee works closely with the students in preparation of the proposal for dissertation. In this stage of the student's program, it is important that the chair of the Doctoral advisory committee be appropriately matched to the student's research area.

## Request to Change Chair

If the student considers the interaction between the student and the dissertation committee chair to be not viable, then the student may make a written request to the Department Head for reassignment to a new chair. If granted, this action may impact the student's graduation timeline and may require the development of a new dissertation topic. A student's reassignment request must include the nature of the circumstances initiating the change, including

- the history of the interaction,
- the steps the student has taken with the chair to resolve the concern,
- appropriate documentation, and
- the name of the current chair.

Requests will be carefully reviewed by the Department Head and Program Coordinator. Additional information from the student and/or the current chair may be required. If the request for a new dissertation chair is approved, the student, the new chair, and the former chair will be notified by the Program Coordinator or Department Head via email. Multiple requests by a student for changes to the committee may prompt a conference between the student and the Department Head to determine underlying issues and possible solutions. If it is determined that the student has failed, without due cause, to cooperate with the chair or the committee in accordance with the procedures outlined in this Dissertation Handbook, then the faculty may move to suspend or dismiss the student from the program.

## Dissertation

The committee monitors the student's progress in writing the dissertation, advises accordingly, and conducts the oral defense of the proposal and final defense.

## **EDAD 718 REQUIREMENT**

After Doctoral candidates are admitted to candidacy, they are required to enroll in a minimum of three semester hours of dissertation, EDAD 718, each fall and spring semester until the dissertation is completed and approved by the Doctoral advisory committee and the Graduate School. Students who fail to enroll for dissertation during any fall or spring semester after admission to candidacy will be prohibited from enrolling until the tuition has been collected for each semester.

<u>NOTE</u>: Doctoral candidates will not be required to enroll during the summer term, unless using the counsel and advice of their dissertation advisor or university facilities, such as the Library. A student cannot propose or defend during the summer if not enrolled in EDAD 718. Also note that students may be required to pay out of state tuition after they have completed 99 hours of work.

## **Minimum Time Limit**

The degree can be conferred no sooner than eight months after admission to candidacy and not sooner than the semester following proposal defense.

## (See Other Deadlines in this document that pertain to the proposal)

## **Preparation of the Proposal**

Step 1. Enroll in EDAD 718 and contact Dissertation Advisor. The student should begin talking with his or her dissertation advisor regarding dissertation topics and research plans.

<u>Step 2. Select a research topic.</u> Work closely with your dissertation chair and other committee members in the selection of an adequate topic or area for research in the educational administration field. All students are to use The American Psychological Association, 7th Edition, as a guide for writing the proposal and dissertation.

DISSERTATION PROPOSAL

<u>Step 3. Schedule your proposal presentation.</u> Reserve a time and place for the proposal in consultation with your chair and committee members. Your dissertation proposal must be submitted to each member of your committee a minimum of 2 weeks prior to scheduling your proposal presentation date. Each member of your committee must have your complete proposal no later than the 1st of the month PRIOR to the month you wish to propose.

<u>Step 4. File with the Graduate School.</u> Once approval to present the written proposal has been received from all members of the student's Doctoral advisory committee, the student obtains all committee member's signatures on the Schedule for the Presentation of the Dissertation Proposal (obtained from The Graduate School web page) and submitted to the Graduate School by the **20th of the month** preceding the month of presentation of proposal.

## **Defense of the Proposal**

## Who is present?

All departmental faculty and the Graduate Faculty representative are invited to the presentation of the proposal for research in addition to the student's dissertation committee. The proposal presentation is also open to all university faculty members and interested students.

#### How is the presentation conducted?

The procedure may vary by advisor, but a standard format includes the following: introductions, introductory remarks by the student regarding his or her interest areas, and an explanation of the proposed study by the student. During the session any committee member present may ask for clarifications and/or offer suggestions regarding the proposed study. After the proposal presentation, the student and any observers are asked to leave the room while the dissertation chair and committee members discuss the student's study. The student returns to the session, at which time the student is informed of the committee's decision outcome and recommendations regarding the proposed study.

## Outcome.

The student's dissertation committee has final recommendation authority, subject to review by the Dean of the College of Education and the Dean for Graduate Studies and Research. The outcome may be to (a) accept the proposal, (b) modify and accept the proposal, or (c) reject the proposal. If the proposal is accepted or modified and accepted, the student completes the Advisor's Approval form obtained from the Graduate School, and presents this to the Graduate School, signed by all dissertation committee members, the Department Head, and the Dean of the College of Education (COEHS).

#### **Human Subjects Protection**

<u>Human Subjects Protection forms.</u> Following the successful proposal defense the student must submit an application to the University Institutional Review Board (IRB) for approval to conduct the research. The student must clear this application with his or her Committee Chair before sending it to the IRB committee. This process must be completed for all university research activities even when human subjects are not involved in the research activity.

In general, a research proposal should ensure that risks are minimized to those participating in the research study (any risks beyond those incurred in daily life must be outweighed by benefits to the subjects). Reduction of risks can be accomplished through providing procedures consistent with sound research design, equitable selection of subjects and appropriate setting, confirming that informed consent is adequate and documented, checking that continued monitoring takes place to ensure the safety of the subjects, and making sure that privacy and confidentiality are noted to the participant and maintained throughout the project.

Participation of a human subject in any study must be voluntary, and the information provided to obtain participant's consent must be adequate and appropriate. The IRB or University Human Subjects Protection Committee may choose to waive the requirement for informed consent in some cases; however, such action must be based on clearly defensible grounds. The ultimate and final decision belongs to the University Human Subjects Protection Committee.

## FINAL DISSERTATION

The final dissertation is published in the academic community and is a reflection of the University and its faculty. Hence, the student is expected to design and write a dissertation of high scholarly and academic quality. It is the responsibility of the dissertation committee and Graduate School to ensure the highest quality of research delivered through the dissertation.

## <u>Time Limit</u>

All degree requirements, including the dissertation, beyond the master's degree must be completed within ten calendar years from the date of admission to the Doctoral program. No coursework beyond the master's degree that is over ten years old at the time of the Doctoral degree is conferred can be used toward the Doctoral degree. Timely completion of the dissertation is advised as the topic may become outdated if extended for a long period of time. **There is no waiver for this policy.** 

## **Special Assistance**

Writing. Doctoral students may receive assistance from the University Writing Center if needed.

Editing and statistics review. Students are encouraged to work with an editor and/or statistician in the preparation of the dissertation proposal and defense. The editor and/or statistician should be familiar with the dissertation guide being used by the student. Faculty members, graduate assistants, and department staff members are not editors. Drafts submitted to these individuals in need of editing will be returned to the student. The student is solely responsible for completing and defending the dissertation.

<u>Accommodations.</u> Each division within the University is aware of the needs of the disabled student and is ready and willing to work with each student to solve problems as they arise. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal anti-discrimination statute that provides comprehensive civil rights protection for persons with disabilities. Among other things, this legislation requires that all students with disabilities be guaranteed a learning environment that provides for reasonable accommodation of their disabilities. If you have a disability requiring an accommodation, please contact:

Office of Student Disability Resources and Services Texas A&M University-Commerce / Gee Library Room 162 Phone (903) 886-5150 or (903) 886-5835 Fax (903) 468-8148 StudentDisabilityServices@tamuc.edu

## **ENROLLMENT IN DISSERTATION (EDAD 718)**

**Continuous enrollment.** After the student is admitted to candidacy for the Doctoral degree, the student must continuously enroll in EDAD 718 until the dissertation is complete. University policy states that the student must be enrolled in 718 while receiving advice or assistance from a member of the faculty or while utilizing university facilities. Therefore, the student must be registered in 718 during the semester the dissertation is submitted.

<u>Minimum and maximum 718 credit.</u> Regardless of the number of semester hours of EDAD 718 in which a student enrolls, no more than 12 hours, as designated on the degree plan, will be counted for credit. A reduced fee may be approved by the Registrar's Office and Graduate School after admission to candidacy and completion of all coursework other than 718. The student is reminded that the University will charge out-of-state tuition for credits earned above 99 hours.

<u>Grade in EDAD 718 Grades.</u> A grade of "in progress" (I) or "unsatisfactory progress" (U) is recorded each semester until the dissertation is successfully complete and the student graduates. The registrar's office will change all "I" grades to an "S" for the final transcript.

<u>Dissertation Defense</u>. Scheduling Defense. Your final dissertation draft must be submitted to each member of your committee a minimum of 2 weeks prior to scheduling your final defense date. This normally means each member of your committee must have your complete proposal no later than the 1st of the month PRIOR to the month you wish to conduct your final defense.

<u>Forms.</u> A Schedule for the Presentation of the Final Dissertation Defense, available from the Graduate School, must be filed in the Graduate School by the 20th of the month prior to the month the defense is held. A Final Examination Report will then be forwarded by the Graduate School to the department for recording the results of the defense.

The dissertation defense is a public event and open to all interested graduate faculty and students. Voting persons include the major professor and committee members only.

<u>After the Defense.</u> The candidate will distribute and obtain relevant signatures on Signature Pages and the Graduate School Advisor's Approval form. Additionally, the candidate and the major professor will prepare a list of agreed upon changes or modifications to be made to the defended manuscript prior to its submission to the Graduate School.

Following approval by the COEHS Dean, the candidate will submit the completed Graduate School Approval Form, Signature Pages, and a copy of the completed manuscript to the Graduate School. Candidates are cautioned to be aware of required deadlines for final submission of all dissertation or thesis manuscripts, necessary forms, copyright agreements, UMI materials, fee payments, and applications for graduation etc.

If the dissertation defense is successful, the Doctoral advisory committee chair completes the Final Examination Report, which is signed by committee members and the Dean of the College of Education and Human Services.

<u>University Guidelines.</u> It is the student's responsibility to carefully follow the policy stated in the Graduate Catalog regarding the final dissertation, number of copies, deadlines, etc.

## GRADUATION

## **Filing for Graduation**

As specified in the Graduate Catalog, students must file for graduation in the Registrar's Office by the deadline indicated in the schedule of classes or the Graduate Catalog for that particular semester, typically during the first two weeks of the semester. A student is entitled to graduate under the degree provisions of the catalog in effect at the time of admission into the Doctoral program or a subsequent year, provided that in all cases the student fulfills the requirements of a catalog within ten years of currency.

## **Commencement**

The degree is conferred at the commencement following the fulfillment of all requirements. The candidate is expected to be present at the ceremony. Permission from the Dean for Graduate Studies and Research must be obtained if circumstances prevent a candidate from attending commencement.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Other information can be found in the Texas A&M-Commerce Graduate Catalog, via the website. Please contact the Department of Educational Leadership at 903-886-5520 if you need further information.

Note: This handbook is subject to change. Updates will be available in the Educational Leadership Department website http://www.tamuc.edu/edl. This is not a catalog and does not have catalog privileges.