



Dr. Mark Rudin
President
Texas A&M University-Commerce
2600 West Neal Street
P. O. Box 3011
Commerce, TX 75428

Dear Dr. Rudin:

Thank you again for the hospitality and assistance extended to the Reaffirmation Committee during its visit to your institution on March 25-28, 2024. The final report prepared by the Committee is available for download from the SACSCOC institutional portal.

The report represents the professional judgment of the Reaffirmation Committee made in accordance with the *Principles of Accreditation: Foundations for Quality Enhancement*. The report will be reviewed by a Committee on Compliance and Reports, and a final decision will be made by the SACSCOC Board of Trustees. Some parts of the report are directly related to the requirements of the *Principles*, while others may represent advisory comments offered by the visiting committee in a spirit of helpfulness. A formal recommendation is included when a visiting committee determines that the institution does not comply with one or more of the Standards of the *Principles*. All recommendations included in a visiting committee report have been adopted by the total committee and require an institutional response.

The SACSCOC Board of Trustees meets officially in June and in December to review institutional cases. Final decisions on accreditation are made public following each meeting on the SACSCOC website, and a public announcement regarding official actions occurs during the meeting of the College Delegate Assembly at the SACSCOC Annual Meeting each December. The report of the committee which visited your institution will be reviewed in **December 2024**. For that meeting, you should prepare a written Response to any formal recommendation contained in the Committee's report.

Please upload your Response Report to the SACSCOC institutional portal on or before **August 25, 2024**. Along with your response, upload the revised QEP [if responding to formal recommendations in Standard 7.2 (*Quality Enhancement Plan*)] or the institution's current QEP (if there were no formal recommendations in Standard 7.2). **The SACSCOC Policy, "Documents Submitted for SACSCOC Review," provides appropriate instructions to be followed when developing your institutional Response and submitting your QEP.**

SACSCOC works to maintain a cooperative and constructive relationship with officials in system and state offices. Due to the institutional nature of the accreditation process, however,



Dr. Mark Rudin
April 29, 2024
Page Two

visiting committee reports would more appropriately be furnished to the system or state offices by the institution rather than directly from SACSCOC. Should you wish to do so, you may download a second copy of the report.

An institution may release its visiting committee report; however, release of this report in its entirety or in part must be accompanied by the following statement: "The findings of this visiting committee represent a preliminary assessment of the institution at this time; final action on the report rests with the SACSCOC Board of Trustees." If the institution releases part of its report, that part must contain a note stating: "A copy of the entire report may be obtained from the institution."

Please express my sincere appreciation to all members of your faculty and staff for their cooperation and assistance during the review process. As you develop your responses to the report, please feel free to call upon me if I can be of any assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Denise Y. Young".

Denise Y. Young, Ph.D.
Vice President

DYY:rg

cc: Dr. Ricky Dobbs, Sr. Vice-Provost, Professor